



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,554	04/07/2005	Hiroyuki Sato	10936-86	8861
24256	7590	11/30/2006	EXAMINER	
DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP			MESH, GENNADIY	
1900 CHEMED CENTER				
255 EAST FIFTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			1711	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/530,554	SATO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gennadiy Mesh	1711	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 April 2005.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1- 6 and 13 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Shinoda (US 5,412,067) in view of Howelton (US 5,342,918).

Regarding Claim 1 Shinoda discloses preparation ( see abstract) process of polyester with desirable MW(molecular weight) from cyclic esters or their mixtures ( see lines 5 –15,column 1), wherein impurities as water and hydroxycarboxylic acids ( including oligomers) are accurately controlled ( thus proton concentration also controlled) with total amount less than .100 ppm (see abstract, lines 40 – 68,column 2, line 5-7,column 3 and line 50,column 6) in order to produce polyester with desirable MW ( see lines 1-5,column 3).

Shinoda is silent about addition of water to polymerization system. However, addition of water in order to start ring-opening polymerization process is known in the art. For example, Howelton teach addition of water ( as polymerization initiator) in ring – opening polymerization( see line 20,column1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use purified cyclic ester in order to obtain polyester with desirable MW per teaching of

Art Unit: 1711

Shinoda and add water to polymerization system in order to start or/and increase rate of polymerization as it shown by Howelton.

Subject mater claimed by Applicant in Claims 13 – 16 was discussed above.

Also see Shinoda: lines 5-17, column 1; lines 20 – 25, column 6 and Example 6, wherein copolymer of glycolide and other cyclic monomer is disclosed.

Regarding Claims 17 – 20 : Shinoda in view of Howelton discloses substantially same process capable of producing substantially same product as a polyester with same MW. It will be reasonable to believe that other properties as viscosity and Yellowness index will be also substantially same. Burden shits to Applicant provide factual results to the contrary.

3. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinoda (US 5,412,067) in view of Howelton (US 5,342,918) as applied to claims 1-6 and 13 –20 above, and further in view of Early (US 6,437,565).

Regarding Claims 7 – 9: as it shown by Shinoda in view of Howelton that impurities ( proton concentration –see above) are controlled factor in preparation process of the polyester with desirable MW, but silent about regressional correlation between proton concentration and specific physical properties govern by MW of the polymer.

However, regressional analysis is a standard tool, routinely used in the art in order to determine relations between control factors and any functional properties. For example, Early discloses use of regressional analysis ( see Fig.4) in order to determine physical properties of the composition.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use regressive analysis as taught by Early in order to find optimum amount of proton concentration in obtain polyester with desirable properties by production method disclosed by Shinoda in view of Howelton.

4. Claims 10 –12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinoda (US 5,412,067) in view of Howelton (US 5,342,918) as applied to claims 1-6 and 13 –20 above, and further in view of Handbook of Thermoplastic Polymers, Chapter 2, pages 80 –94.

Regarding Claim 11 Shinoda in view of Howelton silent about conducting polymerization in closed volume – particularly inside closed tubes.

However, ring –opening polymerization process can be conduct in closed volume, because process is not required evacuation of byproducts from polymerization system due to nature of this process – no volatile byproducts are generated during polymerization process as it disclosed in Handbook of Thermoplastic Polymers, Chapter 2, pages 90 - 94.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to conduct polymerization process disclosed by Shinoda in view of Howelton inside closed tubes ( as a simple polymerization reactors), as it claimed by Applicant, due to significant reduction of overall cost of production equipment due to simplicity of this type or reactors( tube).

Regarding Claim 12 Shinoda in view of Howelton silent about conducting polymerization in solid state after initial polymer was produced.

Art Unit: 1711

However, solid-state polymerization of polyesters in order to increase MW of the polymer is well known in the art and would be obvious extension of polymerization process as it disclosed in Handbook of Thermoplastic Polymers, Chapter 2, pages 80 – 82.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to conduct polymerization process disclosed by Shinoda in view of Howelton with following step of solid-state polymerization as it thought in Handbook of Thermoplastic Polymers in order to increase MW of the final polymer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gennadiy Mesh whose telephone number is (571) 272 2901. The examiner can normally be reached on 8a.m - 4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272 1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gennadiy Mesh  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1711

⑥M 11/28/06



James J. Seidleck  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 1700

\*\*\*